



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/043,803	01/10/2002	Richard Clewer	PD-201003	9080

7590 04/15/2005

Hughes Electronics Corporation
Patent Docket Administration
Bldg. 1, Mail Stop A109
P.O. Box 956
El Segundo, CA 90245-0956

EXAMINER

FLANAGAN, KRISTA M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2631

DATE MAILED: 04/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/043,803	Applicant(s) CLEWER ET AL.	
	Examiner Krista M. Flanagan	Art Unit 2631	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 January 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 19 March 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 13 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. It is the belief of the examiner that the claim should be dependent upon claim 11 and not claim 12.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-5 and 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,055,280 to Genrich.

4. Regarding claim 1, Genrich discloses a demodulator for use in a satellite communication system (See column 2, lines 1-5), said demodulator operative for receiving a modulated signal having a data rate R, said modulated signal comprising retrievable data (See column 2, lines 1-4), said demodulator comprising: means for partitioning said modulated signal into N data channels, each of said data channels having a data rate equal to R/N (See column 2, lines 30-32; column 7, lines 1-4 and figure 1, block 14); and means for processing the modulated signal contained in

each of said N data channels at a data rate of R/N , said means for processing operative for regenerating and outputting said retrievable data (See figure 2).

5. Regarding claim 2, which inherits all of the limitations of claim 1, Genrich discloses a demodulator, wherein said means for partitioning said modulated signal into N data channels comprises a demultiplexer, said demultiplexer operative for receiving samples of said modulated signal at a data rate R samples per second, and for outputting one of said received samples to one of said data channels at a divided data rate, claimed R/N , samples per second (See column 2, lines 30-32; column 7, lines 1-4 and figure 1, block 14).

6. Regarding claim 3, which inherits all of the limitations of claim 2, Genrich discloses a demodulator, wherein said demultiplexer operates to output one of said received samples to each of said data channels at a divided data rate, claimed R/N , samples per second (See column 2, lines 30-32; column 7, lines 1-4 and figure 1, block 14).

7. Regarding claim 4, which inherits all of the limitations of claim 1, Genrich discloses a demodulator, wherein said means for partitioning said modulated signal into N data channels generates a clock signal having a divided rate, claimed of R/N , said clock signal be coupled to and utilized to clock the means for processing the modulated signal (See figure 1, block 14 and figure 2, block 21).

8. Regarding claim 5, which inherits all of the limitations of claim 1, Genrich discloses a demodulator, wherein said modulated signal is generated utilizing QPSK modulation (See column 2, lines 24-25).

9. Regarding claim 11, Genrich discloses a method of demodulating an incoming modulation signal for use in a satellite communication system (See column 2, lines 1-5), said

Art Unit: 2631

incoming modulation signal having a data rate R , said modulation signal comprising retrievable data, said method comprising the steps of: partitioning said modulation signal into N data channels, each of said data channels having a data rate equal to R/N (See column 2, lines 30-32 and column 7, lines 1-4); processing the modulation signal contained in each of said N data channels at a divided data rate, claimed R/N , so as to regenerate said retrievable data (See figure 2, block 21) ; and outputting said retrievable data (See figure 2, data output).

10. Regarding claim 12, which inherits all of the limitations of claim 11, Genrich discloses a method of demodulating, wherein said step of partitioning said modulation signal into N data channels further comprises the step of outputting one of said received samples to one of said data channels at a divided data rate, claimed R/N , samples per second (See column 2, lines 30-32 and column 7, lines 1-4).

11. Regarding claim 13, which inherits all of the limitations of claim 11, Genrich discloses a method of demodulating, wherein said step of partitioning said modulation signal into N data channels further comprises the step of outputting one of said received samples to each of said data channels at a divided data rate, claimed R/N , samples per second (See column 2, lines 30-32 and column 7, lines 1-4).

12. Regarding claim 14, which inherits all of the limitations of claim 11, Genrich discloses a method of demodulating, wherein said step of partitioning said modulation signal into N data channels further comprises generating a clock signal having a divided rate, claimed R/N , said clock signal be coupled to and utilized in the step of processing the modulation signal (See figure 1, block 14 and figure 2, block 21).

13. Regarding claim 15, which inherits all of the limitations of claim 11, Genrich discloses a method of demodulating, wherein said modulated signal is generated utilizing QPSK modulation (See column 2, lines 24-25).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

15. Claims 6-10 and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,055,280 to Genrich and in further view of US Patent No. 5,572,349 to Hale et al.

16. Regarding claim 6, which inherits all of the limitations of claim 1, Genrich discloses a demodulator, for high data rates (See column 3, lines 11-16). Genrich fails to disclose a data rate of 800 MHz. However it is well known in the art that satellite communication systems demodulators have high data rates. There is no criticality shown for choosing the limit "800 MHz", therefore no patentable weight is given.

17. Regarding claim 7, Genrich discloses a demodulator for use in a satellite communication system (See column 2, lines 1-5), said demodulator operative for receiving a modulated signal having a data rate R such that said demodulator receives R input samples per second, said modulated signal comprising retrievable data, said demodulator comprising: a demultiplexer circuit (See figure 1, block 14), said demultiplexer circuit receiving said R samples per second as an input signal and signal recovery circuitry for processing the input samples so as to regenerate

said retrievable data (See figure 2). Genrich fails to disclose a demultiplexer circuit having N shift registers. However, Hale discloses a demultiplexer circuit having N shift registers (See figure 6 and column 7, lines 6-19). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the demultiplexer circuit having N shift registers of Hale in the design of Genrich to aid in parallel processing the input data samples at the time the invention was made.

18. Regarding claim 8, which inherits all of the limitations of claim 7, Genrich discloses a demodulator, wherein said demultiplexer generates a clock signal having a divided rate, claimed R/N , said clock signal be coupled to and utilized to clock the signal recovery circuitry for processing the modulated signal (See figure 1, block 14 and figure 2, block 21).

19. Regarding claim 9, which inherits all of the limitations of claim 7, Genrich discloses a demodulator, wherein said modulated signal is generated utilizing QPSK modulation (See column 2, lines 24-25).

20. Regarding claim 10, which inherits all of the limitations of claim 7, Genrich discloses a demodulator, for high data rates (See column 3, lines 11-16). Genrich fails to disclose a data rate of 800 MHz. However it is well known in the art that satellite communication systems demodulators have high data rates. There is no criticality shown for choosing the limit "800 MHz", therefore no patentable weight is given.

21. Regarding claim 16, which inherits all of the limitations of claim 11, Genrich discloses a demodulator, for high data rates (See column 3, lines 11-16). Genrich fails to disclose a data rate of 800 MHz. However it is well known in the art that satellite communication systems demodulators have high data rates. There is no criticality shown for choosing the limit "800 MHz", therefore no patentable weight is given.

22. Regarding claim 17, Genrich discloses a method of demodulating an incoming modulation signal for use in a satellite communication system (See column 2, lines 1-5), said incoming modulation signal having a data rate R such that R input samples per second are received, said modulation signal comprising retrievable data, said method comprising the steps of: demultiplexing the incoming modulation signal, said demultiplexing step comprising inputting said R input samples at a divided data rate, claimed R/N , samples per second (See column 2, lines 30-32 and column 7, lines 1-4); and processing the input samples utilizing signal recovery circuitry so as to regenerate said retrievable data (See figure 2). Genrich fails to explicitly disclose a method where demultiplexing the incoming modulation signal utilizes N shift registers. However, Hale discloses a demultiplexing step where demultiplexing the incoming modulation signal utilizes N shift register, the step also comprising inputting said R input samples sequentially into said N shift registers such that each of said shift registers receives input samples at a data rate of R/N samples per second (See column 7, lines 15-16). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the demultiplexing method utilizing N shift registers of Hale with the method of Genrich to aid in parallel processing the input data samples at the time the invention was made.

23. Regarding claim 18, which inherits all of the limitations of claim 17, Genrich discloses a method of demodulating, wherein said demultiplexing step comprises generating a clock signal having a divided rate, claimed R/N , said clock signal being coupled to and utilized by said signal recovery circuitry (See figure 1, block 14 and figure 2, block 21).

Art Unit: 2631

24. Regarding claim 19, which inherits all of the limitations of claim 17, Genrich discloses a method of demodulating, wherein said modulated signal is generated utilizing QPSK modulation (See column 2, lines 24-25).

25. Regarding claim 20, which inherits all of the limitations of claim 17, Genrich discloses a demodulator, for high data rates (See column 3, lines 11-16). Genrich fails to disclose a data rate of 800 MHz. However it is well known in the art that satellite communication systems demodulators have high data rates. There is no criticality shown for choosing the limit "800 MHz", therefore no patentable weight is given.

Conclusion

26. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- a. US Patent No. 6,763,072 to Matsui et al. discloses a method and apparatus for modulation and demodulation related to orthogonal frequency division multiplexing.
- b. US Patent No. 5,757,872 to Banu et al. discloses a clock recovery circuit.
- c. US Patent No. 6,647,074 to Citta et al. discloses a removal of clock related artifact from an offset QAM generated VSB signal.

27. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krista M. Flanagan whose telephone number is (571) 272-2203.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad H. Ghayour can be reached on (571) 272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2631

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

kmf
20050406

TESFALDET BOGURE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Tsefaldet Bogure', is written over a rectangular stamp. The stamp contains the text 'TESFALDET BOGURE' on the top line and 'PRIMARY EXAMINER' on the bottom line. The signature is written in a cursive, stylized manner.